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1. Introduction

Iron oxide is a naturally occurring mineral compound that has several crystalline structures and also different structural and magnetic properties [1]. The main crystalline forms of this mineral are: hematite (α-Fe₂O₃); magnetite (Fe₃O₄); and maghemite (γ-Fe₂O₃) [2].

Hematite (α-Fe₂O₃) is the mineral form of iron oxide III. It is found in soils and has different colours from black to silver-grey, maroon to reddish or even red. Hematite (α-Fe₂O₃) can be widely used in catalysts, pigments and gas sensors, as it is the most stable iron oxide and n-type semiconductor [3]. Hematite is easier to synthesize than other forms of oxide, as it is the end product of other forms of iron oxide transformation and is also extremely stable under environmental conditions. It can also be used as a basic material for the synthesis of magnetite (Fe₃O₄) and maghemite (γ-Fe₂O₃) [4]. As shown in Figure 1 (a), α-Fe₂O₃ has a rhombohedral structure. Magnetite (Fe₃O₄) is a magnetic iron oxide nanoparticle known as black iron oxide, magnetic iron ore. Among the transition metal oxides, it exhibits the strongest magnetism [5]. Fe₃O₄ has the spinel structure, exemplified in Figure 1. Fe₃O₄ differs from most other iron oxides in that it contains both divalent and trivalent iron. Thus, Fe₃O₄ can be both a n-type and p-type semiconductor (Fe₃O₄), at room temperature, having ferromagnetic properties, which differs from other forms of iron oxides, because its structure has both divalent and trivalent iron. However, Fe₃O₄ has the lowest resistivity among iron oxides due to low bandgap (0.1 eV) [6].

Maghemite (γ-Fe₂O₃) is one of the magnetic forms of iron oxides and has a brown colour. The structure of the maghemite is a cubic spinel structure, and for this reason it can be regarded as a magnetite with Fe³⁺ deficiency. Therefore, maghemite can be considered as completely oxidized magnetite and is a n-type semiconductor with a 2.0 eV band [7].

The crystalline structures of iron oxide are exemplified in Fig. 1 [8]. Due to their superparamagnetic properties and low toxicity, iron oxide nanoparticles (Fe₃O₄ and γ-Fe₂O₃) are particularly interesting for biomedical applications, such as NMR imaging. For these applications, the Fe₃O₄ and γ-Fe₂O₃ nanoparticles are usually smaller than 20 nm, have superparamagnetic properties, i.e. high magnetic saturation moment and almost zero coercivity.
Fig. 1. Crystal structures of hematite, magnetite and maghemite (●-Fe$^{2+}$, ○-Fe$^{3+}$, - O$^{2-}$) [8]

Magnetite is a very promising iron oxide due to its proven biocompatibility. Magnetic nanoparticles of iron oxides have excellent applications in drug delivery to tumours as well as anticancer agents [9-14]. Magnetite and hematite have been used as catalysts for a number of industrially important reactions, including desulfurization of natural gas synthesis, high temperature water change reaction and in the Haber process. They are also involved in the oxidation of alcohols and the large-scale manufacture of butadiene [10-16]. Maghemite has applications in data recording and storage [17]. All three forms of magnetic iron oxide are commonly used in synthetic pigments, paints, ceramics and porcelain [18]. In recent decades, special attention has been focused on the development of elaboration approaches to produce nanoparticles that are shape and size controlled, biocompatible and monodisperse for catalytic applications [19]. Various morphologies of iron oxide nanoparticles (nanospheres, plates, tetrahedrons, cubes, nanowires, nanotubes, nanoflowers, nanoins) have been developed according to different synthesis protocols and are exemplified in Figure 2 [20-21].

Fig. 2. Morphologies of iron oxide nanoparticles (a) nanospheres, (b) plates, (c) tetrahedrons, (d) cubes (e) nanowires, (f) nanotubes, (g) nanoflowers, (h) nanoins [20, 21]

2. Methods of elaboration

2.1. Precipitation method

The most commonly used method for obtaining Fe$_3$O$_4$ is co-precipitation. The method consists of mixing precursors containing Fe$^{2+}$/Fe$^{3+}$ = 1:2 in basic solutions at room temperature or at high temperature. The size and morphology of iron oxide nanoparticles depend on the type of salt used, chlorides, sulphates, nitrates, perchlorates, etc., the ratio of Fe$^{2+}$/Fe$^{3+}$ concentration, the reaction temperature, the pH value, the ionic resistance of the environment and the other reaction parameters (e.g. stirring speed, lowering rate of the basic solution). In 1981, the development of superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles with controlled dimensions was reported by precipitation in basic environment of FeCl$_3$ and FeCl$_2$ salts by Massart and his collaborators. They observed the influence of the base used (ammonia, methylamine and sodium hydroxide), the pH value, the Fe$^{2+}$/Fe$^{3+}$ ratio on the reaction yield, the diameter and the
polydisperisty of the obtained nanoparticles. By adjusting these parameters, nanoparticles with sizes from 4.2 nm to 16.6 nm were obtained. XRD data revealed a rhombohedral (hexagonal) structure with space group R-3c in all samples. TEM and SEM confirmed the spherical morphology of precipitated synthesized iron oxide nanoparticles [23, 24]. Sun and Zeng developed magnetic nanoparticles of magnetite with variable dimensions from 3 nm to 20 nm in diameter by co-precipitating a stoichiometric mixture of Fe (II) and Fe (III) salts in a basic medium, sodium hydroxide or ammonium hydroxide. Both high-resolution TEM HRTEM and XRD were used to obtain information about nanoparticle structure (Fe₃O₄). The spherical morphology with the size around 20 nm of iron oxide nanoparticles was determined by SEM [25].

**Table 2. Reaction parameters and characterization of iron oxide nanoparticles obtained by coprecipitation method**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oxide type</th>
<th>Precursor</th>
<th>Hydrolysis agent / surfactant / solvent</th>
<th>Temperature Time pH</th>
<th>Dimension/ morphology</th>
<th>Characterization method</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fe₃O₄</td>
<td>FeCl₃·6H₂O FeCl₂·4H₂O</td>
<td>NH₃, NaOH CH₃NH₂</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>4.2-16.6 nm/ spheres</td>
<td>XRD, SEM</td>
<td>[23, 24]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₃O₄</td>
<td>FeCl₃·6H₂O FeCl₂·4H₂O</td>
<td>NaOH NH₃OH</td>
<td>25 °C</td>
<td>3-20 nm spheres</td>
<td>XRD, TEM</td>
<td>[25]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₃O₄</td>
<td>FeCl₂ FeCl₃</td>
<td>NaOH</td>
<td>25 °C pH = 11-12</td>
<td>8.5 nm/ spheres</td>
<td>XRD, TEM</td>
<td>[26]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₂O₄</td>
<td>FeCl₃·6H₂O FeCl₂·4H₂O</td>
<td>NH₃</td>
<td>25 °C 60 min pH = 1.5-9</td>
<td>40 nm/ spheres</td>
<td>SEM, TEM, XRD</td>
<td>[27-28]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-Fe₂O₃</td>
<td>FeCl₃·6H₂O</td>
<td>NaOH NH₃OH</td>
<td>80 °C pH = 11</td>
<td>21-82 nm</td>
<td>SEM, TEM, XRD</td>
<td>[29]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₃O₄</td>
<td>FeCl₃·6H₂O</td>
<td>KI NH₃ PVA</td>
<td>Calcination 250 °C</td>
<td>7.84 nm / spheres d = 6.3 nm, 1 = 46.2/ nanorods</td>
<td>SEM, TEM, XRD</td>
<td>[30]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Kang *et al.* have synthesized monodisperse, uniform, narrow-size nanoparticles of Fe₃O₄ by coprecipitation without surfactants, using iron chlorides with a molar ratio of FeII / FeIII = 0.5 to a pH = 11-12. XRD data revealed a cubic structure. The spherical morphology with the size around 8.5 nm of the iron oxide nanoparticles was determined SEM [26]. Ahn *et al.* have obtained magnetite by this method and demonstrated that different iron species (oxyhydroxide) compositions can result. Mainly, the composition and also the size of the magnetite strongly depend on the molar ratio Fe²⁺/ Fe³⁺. The small values of the ratio x = Fe²⁺/ Fe³⁺ which is x less than 0.1 lead to the formation of goethite [FeO(OH)]. For x = 0.2 and x = 0.3, the distinct phase of oxyhydroxide and the variable size of the non-stoichiometric magnetite were formed. However, the best-known molar ratio used is x = 0.5 producing homogeneous nanoparticles in size and composition. The morpho-structural characterization of the synthesized iron oxide hydroxide nanoparticles was performed by XRD, TEM, SEM. XRD data revealed an orthorhombic structure. The 40 nm spherical morphology of iron oxide nanoparticles was determined by SEM [27, 28].

Lassoued *et al.* have reported the elaboration of α-Fe₂O₃ hematite nanoparticles by a simple chemical method of co-precipitation starting from the hexahydrated iron chloride precursor. They followed in the experiments the impact of the variation of the precursor concentration on the crystalline phase, the size and the morphology of α-Fe₂O₃. The characteristics of the synthesized hematite nanoparticles were evaluated by XRD, TEM, SEM. XRD data revealed a rhombohedral (hexagonal) structure with space group R-3c in all samples. TEM
and SEM were confirmed by the uniform spherical morphology. The result revealed that the particle sizes varied between 21 nm and 82 nm and the increase of the precursor concentration (FeCl$_3$·6H$_2$O) was accompanied by an increase of the particle size of 21 nm for pure α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ synthesized with [Fe$^{3+}$] = 0.05 M at 82 nm for pure α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ synthesized with [Fe$^{3+}$] = 0.4 M [29].

Instead of using two iron precursors, Khalil started from an aqueous solution of Fe$^{3+}$ salt. The procedure consisted of mixing iron chloride with an aqueous solution of potassium iodide with a 3:1 molar ratio. Potassium iodide reduces iron salt. The mixture was hydrolyzed with 25% ammonia to obtain the black precipitate of magnetite. He obtained spherical magnetite nanocrystals with a diameter of 7.84 nm, rods with a diameter of 6.3 nm and a length of 46.2 nm. The morphology of Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanocrystals was examined with the scanning electron microscope and a transmission electron microscope with an acceleration voltage of 200 kV [30].

Kirillov et al. have obtained magnetite nanoparticles by co-precipitating iron sulphate (II) and iron chloride (III) in the presence of citrate ions, at room temperature and in argon medium. By changing the molar concentration of citrate, it was possible to obtain nanoparticles of different sizes (from 10.5 nm to 4.4 nm) demonstrated by scanning electron microscopy [31]. Table 2 shows the precursors, hydrolysis agents, surfactants, solvents, reaction conditions, dimensions and morphologies of iron oxides elaborated by coprecipitation method.

2.2. Thermal decomposition

Thermal decomposition is one of the most studied methods for the controlled elaboration of different types of nanoparticles. The elaboration of nanoparticles by thermal decomposition is based on the thermal decomposition of the various iron precursors (salts or complex combinations) in organic environment and at high temperature. As an organic medium, high boiling solvents and surfactants are used. The morphology and size of the elaborated nanoparticles can be influenced by the ratio between the reactants used in the reaction, the temperature and the reaction time [31].

Rockenberge et al. have developed γ-Fe$_2$O$_3$ with a size of 10 nm by thermal decomposition starting from the precursor of the iron-cupferon complex (Cup = N-nitroso-phenylhydroxylamine-C$_6$H$_5$N(NO)OH) [32].

Shortly, the Hyeon-led group of researchers developed iron oxide nanoparticles using the thermal decomposition method based on heating a reaction mixture (precursor, solvent, and surfactants) to the reflux temperature of the solvent (typically chain hydrocarbons are used) such as 1-octadecene, 1-eicosan, etc.). Monodisperse magnetite with a particle size of 12 nm was prepared starting from the iron-oleate complex, oleic acid which was dissolved in 200 g of 1-octadecene at room temperature. The mixture was heated at 320 °C for 30 min. When the reaction temperature reached 320 °C, the initial solution that was transparent became black-brown. The resulting solution containing the nanocrystals was then cooled to room temperature. Iron oxide nanocrystals were separated by centrifugation and characterized by XRD and TEM [33].

Maity et al. have studied the influence of reaction time on the size of nanoparticles developed by the thermal decomposition method. To obtain magnetite, the authors started from a mixture of iron acetylacetonate, oleic acid and oleylamine. If the reaction was carried out at 300 °C for 30 min, 5 nm nanoparticles were synthesized. By increasing the reaction time to 2 h, the size of the nanoparticles increased to 6 nm and after 24 h to 11 nm. If the elaboration reaction of the magnetite nanoparticles took place at 330 °C, varying the reaction time from 30 min to 7 h, spherical nanoparticles from 7 nm to 11 nm were obtained, demonstrated by electron microscopy characterization of sweeps [34, 35].

Colvin et al. have demonstrated the possibility of combining both precursor and particle synthesis in a single process, using FeO(OH) as an iron source. In this process, a mixture of FeO(OH), oleic acid and 1-octadecene is heated for several hours, which results in the synthesis of nanoparticles with monodisperse magnetite. The particle size increases linearly as the molar ratio of oleic acid / FeO(OH) becomes larger. Also, by raising the temperature to 340 °C, particles with dimensions larger than 20-30 nm were developed, but at higher temperature the particle size distribution is considerably affected. TEM microscopy of these nanocrystals demonstrates the high quality of the synthesized nanocrystals. XRD confirms the magnetite crystal structure of the obtained nanocrystals.

Another research for the development of magnetic nanoparticles of 4nm size was proposed by Sun et al. who have heated a mixture of iron acetylacetonate, phenyl ether, 1,2-hexadecanediol, oleic acid and oleylamine at reflux for half an hour. The uniform magnetic nanoparticles were synthesized by the decomposition of the organometallic precursors in an organic medium and in the presence of a surfactant, such as oleic acid. Higher temperatures, higher iron concentrations have been shown to accelerate the reaction and induce smaller particles and the oleic acid coating appears to be responsible for very high saturation magnetization values, independent of particle size and decreasing.
coercivity values, with the reduction of the particle size [36].

Table 3 illustrates precursors, hydrolysis agents, reaction parameters, characterization methods, crystallite dimensions of iron oxides obtained by the thermal decomposition method.

**Table 3. Precursors, hydrolysis agents, reaction parameters and characterization of iron oxide nanoparticles obtained by the thermal decomposition method**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oxide type</th>
<th>Precursor</th>
<th>Hydrolysis agent / surfactant / solvent</th>
<th>Temperature</th>
<th>Dimension/morphology</th>
<th>Characterization method</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fe₃O₄</td>
<td>Iron cupferon</td>
<td>octylamine</td>
<td>250-300 °C</td>
<td>10 nm</td>
<td>XRD, SEM</td>
<td>[32]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₃O₄</td>
<td>Iron Oleat Complex</td>
<td>1-octadecene Oleic acid</td>
<td>320 °C</td>
<td>12 nm</td>
<td>XRD, TEM</td>
<td>[33]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₃O₄</td>
<td>Iron acetylacetonate</td>
<td>oleylamine Oleic acid</td>
<td>300-330 °C</td>
<td>7-11 nm</td>
<td>XRD, TEM</td>
<td>[34]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₃O₄</td>
<td>Iron hydroxide oxide</td>
<td>Oleic acid 1-octadecene</td>
<td>340 °C</td>
<td>20-30 nm</td>
<td>XRD, TEM</td>
<td>[35]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γ-Fe₃O₅</td>
<td>Iron acetylacetonate</td>
<td>phenyl ether of oleic acid oleylamine</td>
<td>265 °C</td>
<td>4 nm</td>
<td>XRD, TEM</td>
<td>[36]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**2.3. Sol-gel method**

Cui et al. were able to develop different iron oxide nanoparticles α-Fe₂O₃, γ-Fe₂O₃ and Fe₃O₄ almost monodisperse through a medium-temperature sol-gel route. The formation of the various final iron oxide structures depends only on the drying process. Through TEM analysis, Cui et al. have observed 4.9 nm Fe₂O₃ nanoparticles that were obtained by soil centrifugation. When the xerogel was heated to 150 °C, a slight increase in γ-Fe₂O₃ size formed. However, direct drying at 150 °C of the wet Fe₂O₃ gel allowed for the first time to transform into α-Fe₂O₃ with a size of 10.1 nm. The XRD models of the samples were measured with a diffractometer, the size of the crystalite was calculated using the Scherrer equation. The observation of the particle morphology was performed on a transmission electron microscope. The powder sample was carefully ground, then dispersed in ethanol by ultrasound. In the case of Fe₃O₄, the particles contained on the copper grid were introduced into the TEM test chamber immediately after the vacuum dried sample, avoiding the oxidation of Fe₃O₄. Particle size distributions were obtained by counting approximately 500 nanoparticles from TEM images [37]. The combination of microwave assisted heating with the sol gel method offers a quick and efficient synthesis methodology. For example, the researcher Bilecka together with his collaborators developed iron oxide nanoparticles, FeₓOᵧ with dimensions of 5-11 nm using as an iron acetylacetonate precursor which dissolved in benzyl alcohol and was heated to 170 °C by radiation exposure with microwave for 12 min [38].

Table 4 illustrates precursors, hydrolysis agents, reaction parameters, characterization methods, dimensions and morphologies of iron oxides obtained by the sol-gel method.

**Table 4. Precursors, hydrolysis agents, reaction parameters and characterization of iron oxide nanoparticles obtained by sol-gel method**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oxide type</th>
<th>Precursor</th>
<th>Hydrolysis agent / surfactant / solvent</th>
<th>Temperature</th>
<th>Dimension/morphology</th>
<th>Characterization method</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fe₃O₄</td>
<td>Iron acetylacetonate</td>
<td>Benzyl alcohol</td>
<td>12 min</td>
<td>11 nm 7 nm 5 nm/spheres</td>
<td>SEM, TEM, XRD</td>
<td>[37]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₃O₄</td>
<td>α-Fe₃O₅</td>
<td>ethanol</td>
<td>150 °C</td>
<td>4.9 nm 10.1 nm/spheres</td>
<td>SEM, XRD</td>
<td>[38]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.4. Ultrasonic assisted hydrolytic synthesis

This method has been applied for the synthesis of various iron oxide nanoparticles. For example, magnetite can be produced by sonication of iron (II) acetate in water in an argon atmosphere.

Vijayakumar et al. have reported the synthesis of pure nanometric particles of Fe₃O₄ using iron (II) acetate as a precursor. Fe₃O₄ nano powders made of 10 nm size are superparamagnetic, and its magnetization at room temperature is very low around 1.25 g emu 1. The nanoparticles were characterized by elemental analysis, EDX, transmission electron microscopy, dynamic light scattering, Raman spectroscopy, XPS [39].

Amir et al. have developed the α-Fe₂O₃ nanocrystalline iron oxide using ultrasound irradiation for 1 hour using a suspension containing hexahydrated iron chloride and aqueous sodium hydroxide. Iron oxide nanoparticles were characterized by TEM, XRD. From TEM observations, the size of iron oxide nanoparticles is estimated to be significantly less than 19 nm. The powder X-ray diffraction data after annealing provide direct evidence that iron oxide formed during the sono-chemical process. They pointed out that high-energy ultrasound use produces spherical particles. The crystallite size varies between 5 nm and 7.5 nm for the different temperatures used. Research shows that the particle size is strongly dependent on the reaction temperature and the ultrasound intensity [40].

The α-Fe₂O₃ nanoparticles (hematite) were synthesized by the sono-chemical method by Azadeh et al. who have started from the precursors of iron acetate or iron chloride and sodium hydroxide with molar ratios of 1:2 or, respectively, 1:3, in 100 mL ethanol and water at a ratio of 1:3. The reactions were performed with ultrasound (with a frequency of 20 kHz and a power of approximately 150 W) at room temperature for 1 h. In all reactions, the intermediate was FeO(OH) nanoparticles. The precipitates were then calcined at 900 °C in an air atmosphere for 4 hours. XRD, SEM and IR spectroscopy were used to characterize the nanostructures. XRD data revealed a rhombohedral (hexagonal) structure with space group R-3c in all samples. TEM and SEM were confirmed by the uniform spherical morphology. The result revealed that the particle sizes varied between 13.02 nm and 79.197 nm [41]. Table 5 illustrates precursors, hydrolysis agents, reaction parameters, characterization methods, dimensions of iron oxides obtained by ultrasound.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oxide type</th>
<th>Precursor</th>
<th>Hydrolysis agent / surfactant / solvent</th>
<th>Temperature / Time</th>
<th>Dimension/ morphology</th>
<th>Characterization method</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fe₃O₄</td>
<td>(AcCOO)₂Fe</td>
<td>H₂O</td>
<td>25 °C / 3 h</td>
<td>10 nm</td>
<td>SEM, TEM, XRD, DLS</td>
<td>[39]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₃O₄</td>
<td>FeCl₃·6H₂O</td>
<td>NaOH</td>
<td>1 h</td>
<td>19 nm / spheres</td>
<td>SEM, XRD</td>
<td>[40]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-Fe₂O₃</td>
<td>Fe(C₂H₃O₂)₂</td>
<td>NaOH</td>
<td>150 W, 20 KHz, 25 °C / 1 h</td>
<td>13.02 nm - 79.197 nm / spheres</td>
<td>SEM, XRD, IR</td>
<td>[41]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Conclusions

From the analysis of the specialized scientific works regarding the elaboration and characterization of the iron oxide with catalytic applications, important elements regarding: the type of the synthesis, the methods of morpho-structural characterization have resulted. Based on the analysis regarding the researches carried out on the synthesis and characterization of iron oxide, the following conclusions were drawn. Magnetite, Fe₃O₄, exhibits the strongest magnetism of transition metal oxides. Hematite, α-Fe₂O₃, being the most stable oxide and semiconductor type under environmental conditions, can be widely used in catalysts, pigments and gas sensors. Iron oxide nanoparticles (Fe₃O₄ and γ-Fe₂O₃) with superparamagnetic properties and low toxicity, are particularly useful for catalytic applications especially in the medical field, such as magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). For these applications, the Fe₃O₄ and γ-Fe₂O₃ nanoparticles are usually smaller than 20 nm, where they have superparamagnetic properties, i.e. a high magnetic saturation moment and almost zero coercivity. Various morphologies of iron oxide...
nanoparticles (nanospheres, plates, tetrahedra, cubes, nanowires, nanotubes, nanoflakes, nanoin) have been elaborated according to different synthesis protocols. Methods for the production of titanium dioxide include chemical syntheses (hydrothermal, sol-gel, co-precipitation, sonochemistry, microwave assisted) using a variety of precursors of Fe$^{3+}$, Fe$^{3+}$ salts (chlorides, sulphates, acetates, nitrates). The size of the iron oxide nanoparticles elaborated by the co-precipitation method varies from 3 nm to 82 nm. The size of the iron oxide nanoparticles synthesized by the thermal decomposition method varies from 4 nm to 30 nm. By the sol gel method, iron oxide nanoparticles were obtained, the size of which varies from 5 nm to 11 nm. The size of iron oxide nanoparticles elaborated by the sonochemistry method ranges from 10 nm to 79.197 nm. Iron oxide nanoparticles were characterized by transmission electron microscopy, X-ray diffraction, IR spectroscopy with Fourier transform.
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