

RESEARCH ON CONSTRUCTION AND SIZING OF THE METAL STRUCTURE OF A WINDING INSTALLATION

Marin-Silviu NAN¹, Dorina BĂDIȚĂ (POPESCU)², Danut GRECEA³ Cosmin VITAN¹, Bogdan BRĂNIȘTEANU³

¹University of Petrosani, Romania ²Colegiu Tehnic "Constantin Brancus" Petrila, Romania ³National Institute for Research and Development in Mine Safety and Protection to Explosion - INSEMEX, Petrosani, Romania e-mail: vitan.daniel.cosmin@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

The paper focuses on the design of the metal tower for the sinking of Netiş deventilating shaft in Râul Mare Retezat hydro-energetic setup. The analytical calculation of sizing and verification of the metal structure is doubled by the graphic simulation with speciality software, in view of obtaining results as close as possible to the real exploitation conditions of the tower. The tower of the deventilating shaft is considered to be a temporary construction, and its component parts will be decommissioned once the sinking is finalized. The tower is made up of metal structures in four transoms, 3 being mounted with junction plates and screws, the fourth being the roof.

KEYWORDS: winging installation, metal structures, design and simulation, de-ventilating shaft

1. Introduction

To improve the present utilization and exploitation conditions in Râul Mare Retezat hydroenergetic setup, it is necessary to sink a de-ventilating shaft; this is the object of the present paper. The deventilating shaft is a classical mining working, similar to those generally used for the extraction of useful mineral substances; what is particular in this case is the position conditions in a mountain area of the winding machine.

De-ventilating shafts are hydro technical workings intended to remove air from underground galleries, in order to increase and make even the flow of the handled water. This phenomenon is translated in supplementing the electricity production, determined by the reduction of the air resistance in case of water flow in the head race [1].

2. Establishing the load forces

The winding tower is stressed in time due to the hoisting of the sterile extracted during the sinking of the de-ventilation shaft. In the following, the conditions for the analytical calculation will be defined and materialized [1].

Thus, in Fig. 1 the calculation model is presented with the geometric dimensions of the tower to determine its state of load.

Determination of the position of the weight centre of the tower on the three geometric axes is calculated according to the weights of the transoms, the positions of the weight centres of each transom, the weight of the pulleys and their weight centres. The total weight of the tower in N is:

$$G = G_{ti} + G_m + G_{ts} + G_a + G_{ps} + 2 \cdot G_{ts} + G_{pd} + G_{mm} + 4 \cdot G_{m6} = 2.347 \text{ x} 10^5$$
(1)

where: G_{ti} - lower transom weight, in N; G_m - intermediary transom weight of the tower, in N; G_{ts} - upper transom weight, in N; G_{ps} - weight of the upper platform of the tower, in N; G_a - tower roof weight, in

N; G_{ts} - weight of the stair's transom of the tower, in N; G_{pd} - weight of the tower's discharge platform, in N; G_{mm} - weight of the 2000 pulley with support, in N; G_{m6} - weight of the 600 pulley, in N.



Position of the tower's weight centre, in mm:

$$z_{G} = \frac{K + G_{ts} \cdot Z_{tsi} + G_{ts} \cdot Z_{tss} + G_{pd} \cdot Z_{pd} + G_{mm} \cdot Z_{mm} + 4 \cdot G_{m6} \cdot Z_{m6e}}{C} = 1.058 \text{ x } 10^{4}$$
(2)

$$x_{G} = \frac{G_{ts} \cdot x_{tsi} + G_{ts} \cdot x_{tss} + G_{pd} \cdot x_{pd} + G_{mm} \cdot x_{mm} + 2 \cdot G_{m6} \cdot x_{m6e} + 2 \cdot G_{m6} \cdot x_{m6i}}{G} = 29.745$$
(3)

$$y_{\rm G} = \frac{G_{\rm ts} \cdot y_{\rm tsi} + G_{\rm ts} \cdot y_{\rm tss} + G_{\rm pd} \cdot y_{\rm pd} + G_{\rm mm} \cdot y_{\rm mm} + 2 \cdot G_{\rm m6} \cdot y_{\rm m6e} + 2 \cdot G_{\rm m6} \cdot y_{\rm m6i}}{G} = -54.334$$
(4)



Fig. 1. Calculation model

In order to establish the maximum charging load of the tower, the inclination angle of the cable and anchor will be used, the height up to the pulley axis, the distance from the pulley axis to the tower axis, the weighs of the mobile bridge, the gripper trolley, the gripper, the useful load, weight of the cylinder, of the cable, together with the minimum and maximum length of the cable.[5]

Determination of the maximum load at the launching of the mobile bridge is determined with the formula:

$$S = G_{pm} + G_{tg} + G_{g} + G_{iu} + G_{c} + g_{cab} \cdot l_{c} = 5.568 \text{ x } 10^{4}$$
(5)

where: G_{pm} - weight of the mobile bridge, in N; G_{tg} - weight of the gripper trolley, in N; G_g - weight of the gripper, in N; G_{iu} - weight of the useful load, in N; G_c - weight of the cylinder, in N; g_{cab} - specific weight of the $\Phi 25$ mm cable, in N/ml; l_c - minimum length of the cable, from the pulley to the bridge, in m.

Determination of the load vertically of the rocks taken out with the skip, is defined by the weight of the skip, transport of the materials taken out by skips, the weight of the skip, the weight of the safety hook, the weight of e-169 device, the weight of the slide carriage and of the skip load, all in N.

$$S_{t} = G_{ch} + G_{cr} + G_{E} + G_{sg} + G_{ic} + g_{cab} \cdot l_{cm} = 2.07 \text{ x } 10^{4}$$
(6)

where: $G_{ch} - 0.75 \text{ m}^3$ skip weight, in N; G_{cr} - safety hook weight, in N; G_E - E-169 device weight, in N; G_{sg} - slide carriage weight, in N; G_{ic} - skip load weight, in N; l_{cm} - maximum cable length, from the pulley to E-169, in m. Determination of the tower strain vertically and horizontally, statically and dynamically, in N, is influenced by the dynamic coefficient of the winding installation, which is equal to 1.6.



Case I: Determination of	of the stresses	in the case	of launching	the mobile bridge:
			0	0

$$H_1 = S \cdot \cos(\alpha) = 3.264 \text{ x } 10^4 \tag{7}$$

$$H_{1d} = H_1 \cdot C_d = 5.222 \text{ x } 10^4 \tag{8}$$

$$V_1 = S \cdot (1 + \sin(\alpha)) = 1.008 \times 10^5$$
(9)

$$V_{1d} = V_1 \cdot C_d = 1.613 \text{ x } 10^5 \tag{10}$$

Case II: Determination of the stresses in the case of vertical transport of material:

$$H_2 = S_t \cdot \cos(\alpha) = 1.213 \times 10^4 \tag{11}$$

$$H_{2d} = H_2 \cdot C_d = 1.941 \text{ x } 10^4 \tag{12}$$

$$V_2 = S_t \cdot (1 + \sin(\alpha)) = 3.747 \times 10^4$$
(13)

$$V_{2d} = V_2 \cdot C_d = 5.996 \text{ x } 10^4 \tag{14}$$

3. Verification of the tower

For the winding installation equipped with skip, the height of the winding tower, H_T , in *m*, from the ground to the upper platform on which the winding pulley is extracted, is calculated by the formula:

$$H_t = h_r + h_c + h_s + \frac{D_m}{2}$$
, in m (15)

where: h_r represents the positioning height of the skip discharge ramp and material loading, in m. The material is loaded in vehicles by means of a loading

chute, so that $h_r = 8$ m is adopted [3]; h_c - skip height together with the safety hook, the cable connecting device (to the upper clamp) and the skip guiding device, in m. Summing up the dimensions of the elements mentioned, $h_c = 5.550$ m results [4]; h_s - safety space in case the skip is over lifted, as a result of a handling error, in m, which is adopted at the value $h_s = 1.45$ m, imposed by the labour safety regulations, specific to small speed values; D_M - diameter of the winding pulley, in m, $D_M = 2000$ mm.

Fig. 2 shows the constructive dimensions and critical sections of the tower [2].



Fig. 2. Constructive dimensions of the tower

Establishing the geometrical characteristics of the critical transoms of the tower and the results of the

modelling and simulation in specialised software are succinctly presented in Fig. 3-7.



Thus in Fig. 3 section A-A through the lower transom of the tower is presented, for which the following geometrical characteristics have been established:

- Inertia moment for axis X-X, in mm⁴ is 213611970443.74;

- Inertia moment for axis Y-Y, in mm⁴ is 213531375516.67;

- Section area, in mm² is 37800.35;
- Maximum distance, in mm is 2500;
- Resistance module, in mm³ $W_{xA} = 8.544 \text{ x } 10^7 \text{ and } W_{vA} = 8.541 \text{ x } 10^7.$



Fig. 3. Geometrical characteristics of section A-A through the lower transom of the tower

Fig. 4 shows section B-B through the lower transom of the tower.

In Fig. 4 A-A through the lower transom of the tower, for which the following geometric characteristics have been established:

- Inertia moment for axis X-X, in mm⁴ equal to 267807002222.8;

- Inertia moment for axis Y-Y, in mm⁴ equal to 267674515148.12;

- Section area, in mm² equal to 55610.95;
- Maximum, in mm equal to 2500;
- Resistance module, in mm³ $W_{xB} = 1.071 \text{ x } 10^{8} \text{ and } W_{yB} = 1.071 \text{ x } 10^{8}.$



Fig. 4. Geometrical characteristics of section B-B through the lower transom of the tower

In Fig. 5 section C-C is presented through the joining area of the lower transom with the intermediary transom of the tower, for which the

following geometric characteristics have been established:

- Inertia moment for axis X-X, in mm⁴ equal to 310565505011.8;



- Inertia moment for axis Y-Y, in mm⁴ equal to 310565310834.47;

- Section area, in mm² equal to 60352.53;
- Maximum distance, in mm equal to 2566;

Fig. 5. Characteristics of section C-C by joining lower and intermediate transoms of the tower

Fig. 6 shows sections D-D and E-E by the intermediary transom of the tower, for which the following geometric characteristics have been established:

Geometric characteristics at section D-D:

- Inertia moment for axis X-X, in mm⁴ equal to 241920563998.18;

- Inertia moment for axis Y-Y, in mm⁴ equal to 241921289707.71;

- Section area, in mm² equal to 43825.13;

Maximum distance, in mm equal to 2500;
Resistance module in mm³

 $W_{xD} = 9.677 \text{ x } 10^7 \text{ and } W_{yD} = 9.677 \text{ x } 10^7.$



Fig. 6. Geometric characteristics of sections D-D and E-E through intermediary transom of the tower

Geometric characteristics at section E-E:

- Inertia moment for axis X-X, in mm⁴ equal to 195073488284.02;
- Inertia moment for axis Y-Y, in mm⁴ equal to 195069158856.77;
- Section area, in mm² equal to 43825.15;

- Maximum distance, in mm equal to 2500; - Resistance module, in mm³ $W_{xE} = 7.803 \times 10^7$ and $W_{yE} = 7.803 \times 10^7$.

Fig. 7 presents section F-F through the upper platform of the tower, for which the following geometric characteristics have been established:

- Resistance module, in mm³ $W_{xC} = 1.21 \times 10^8$ and $W_{yC} = 1.21 \times 10^8$.



- Inertia moment for axis X-X, in mm⁴ equl to 139159414.63;

- Inertia moment for axis Y-Y,in mm⁴ equal to 120254491995.14;

- Maximum distance, in mm equal to 128.39; - Resistance module, in mm³ $W_{xF} = 4.81 \times 10^6$ and $W_{vF} = 1.084 \times 10^7$.

- Section area, in mm² equal to 50707.8;



Fig. 7. Geometric characteristics of section F-F through the upper platform of the tower

By all the simulations and from the above mentioned succinctly presented facts, it was pointed out that the maximum values calculated and those resulted from simulations fall into the value range prescribed by the standards and norms in force in the moment of construction and exploitation of the winding machines.

4. Conclusions

The metal structure of the winding tower is modelled as a plane framework, which represent a simple undetermined static system, loaded with technological forces that occur in the winding cable and with mass forces given by the weight of the tower.

Maximum technological forces, applied in the symmetry centre of the winding pulley are calculated for two situations, a first case, for the rock transport by skip, and the second, to launch the mobile working bridge or take it out. Considering the importance of the installation, the safety norms impose a safety coefficient of more than 3, especially that people are also transported, so that the calculations adopt a dynamic coefficient of 1,6 by which the module of the technological forces used in the calculation of the metal structure is multiplied.

Thus, for the verification calculation of the winding tower, the components of the technological forces applied in the centre of the pulley are Fx =

19410 N and Fz = 59960 N, for the case of the transport of the material by skip and Fx = 52220 N and Fz = 161300 N, for the launching of the mobile working bridge or taking it out.

The tower weight is defined by the vector oriented according to axis z, having the module as sum of component elements weights, and the point of application calculated function of the weight centres of the component's elements.

Maximum tensions in the resistance structure of the tower occur in the area of the upper platform, this being demonstrated by the application of the methods of study of the stresses and deformations with the help of finite elements as well as, by using Cosmos Design Star and Abaqus utilities.

References

[1]. Adam C. D., Instalații de extracție miniere, Partea I-a, II-a, Editura Universitas, Petroșani, 2008.

[2]. Băduț M., Iosip M., *Bazele proiectării cu Solid Edge*, Editura Albastră, Cluj-Napoca, 2003.

[3]. Buzdugan Gh., Rezistența materialelor, Editura Didactică și Pedagogică, Bucuresti, 1986.

[4]. Itu V., Dumitrescu I., Ridzi M. C., Magyari A., Solicitări mecanice tehnologice ale turnurilor de extracție miniere, Editura Edyro Press, Petroșani, 2008.

[5]. Magyari A., Achim M. I., Culegere de caracteristici tehnice pentru alegerea și proiectarea instalațiilor de extracție miniere, Litografia Institutului de Mine Petroșani, 1988.

[6]. ***, COSMOS Design STAR Basic User's Guide.

[7]. ***, Solid Edge Software v.19. Academic license ADA Computers.